

THE LAW'S DELAYS.

Troubles That the People Groan and Sweat Under.

OUR JUDGES AND JURORS.

Both Are Charged With More or Less Shortcomings.

REMEDIES SUGGESTED.

Opinions of Prominent Lawyers as to Reforms Needed--Continuances, Writs of Error, Etc., Discussed.

The following letters are given in continuation of the enquiry of the Dispatch "as to the foundation for the widespread belief that there is great tardiness in enforcing the law against criminals, and that the ends of justice are often defeated by convictions which are reversed by appellate courts upon trivial technicalities." Also, "if legislation on this subject is necessary, and if so, what?"

C. E. NICOL, ESQ.

Too Many Continuances and Too Many Jury Exemptions.

The criminal laws of Virginia are excellent, and will compare favorably with those of any State. They are not perfectly administered, however, of course, is not to be expected. However, they are not even reasonably well executed, and this is the foundation for the belief to which you refer. The reason of their defective execution is:

1. The county and corporation courts have original jurisdiction in all criminal cases, and yet the county courts are presided over by judges who receive a mere pittance. The salary does not command adequate talent, and errors are committed which only appellate courts are compelled to correct on appeal. This results in new trial and delay. In some counties in the State, the county courts are presided over by men of high order of ability, notwithstanding the small salary, but they are the exceptions.

TOO MANY CONTINUANCES.

2. There is too great facility in obtaining continuances. The accused should be granted one continuance for good cause. After that no continuance should be granted from term to term, but only for imperative cause to some day during the term.

3. There are too many exemptions from jury service. The best men shun jury service, and then complain of the administration of the law.

LEGISLATION RECOMMENDED.

Replying to your inquiry "What legislation, in your opinion, is necessary, and if so, what?" I would say that I think--

1. That, if not all, exemptions from jury service should be repealed.

2. All jurors should be sworn to the body of the people. No juror should be allowed to order a jury from the by-standers. Amateurs should not be permitted to sit on a jury, but the "professional juror" is apt to slip on in some way.

3. To avoid mob law no appeal should be allowed from the judgment of the County Court in cases of rape or attempted rape.

QUICK APPEALS.

4. When an appeal is taken to the Circuit Court, it should be heard and decided within thirty days by the court or judge in vacation.

5. When an appeal is taken to the Supreme Court of Appeals, it should be heard and decided within sixty days.

6. No continuance but one should be granted to the Commonwealth or accused, and during the continuance the accused must be tried the whole power of the court, if necessary, should be exhausted to compel the attendance of any material witnesses. The case will be tried both sides will be ready.

C. E. NICOL, ESQ.

MANASSAS, VA., October 18, 1893.

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD, ESQ.

He Thinks That No Further Legislation is Necessary.

LYNCHBURG, VA., October 18, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

Yours of the 15th instant, addressed to the firm of Kirkpatrick & Blackford, is at hand. Major Kirkpatrick is not in the city, so I reply in my own name, although I know he agrees with the views I express in response to your inquiry.

My opinion is that no further legislation is necessary. The criminal laws are very carefully amended shortly before the Code of 1860, and were again reviewed by the able and able committee of the House of Delegates, and the result is a better system cannot be found anywhere. Crimes are accurately described, technical rules are modified, and yet every safeguard is maintained necessary to secure a fair trial to the accused.

SPEED AND JUSTICE.

My observation, which is quite extensive both as to time and territory, forces me to the opinion that as a rule speed of justice is meted in our criminal courts, varying in speed and character with the skill and industry of the respective judges and attorneys. For the Commonwealth, who are called upon to administer it.

It is very desirable that there should be speed in the action of criminal courts, but it is more desirable that there should be justice. To secure both requires skill and conscientious discretion.

I have seen in the reported opinions of the Court of Appeals, which justifies the charge that by its action "justice is often defeated upon trivial technicalities." The result is that the trial courts to be speedily often causes them to overlook those formalities in the selection of juries and other preliminary steps of a trial, which are essential to the protection of the citizen, and to the preservation of justice. The neglect of these formalities in the trial court in most cases works to the injury of the accused, but an appellate court, which has to lay down the general principles of the law and create a precedent, is constrained to see that such formalities are strictly enforced.

NECESSARY FORMALITIES.

The formalities protect the life and liberty of the citizen against prejudice and partiality. They are the basis of the object of their institution. It therefore becomes the duty of the Court of Appeals to establish precedents which will maintain that object, even though in some particular case its ruling may appear technical, and in that case may even obstruct the progress of justice. Those of us who noted the course of justice during the times of reconstruction know the importance of protecting the jury box as a give speedy action or corrupt judge or sheriff.

Very truly yours,

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD.

JOHN E. PENN, ESQ.

Law Reform Well Enough in Theory, But Defective in Practice.

ROANOKE, VA., October 22, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

I beg to say that the questions propounded deserve and should receive the careful consideration of our people, and especially of all in authority. Your attention has doubtless been directed to the subject by the recent lynchings in Roanoke city and Amelia county.

The foundation for this widespread belief is the fact that great tardiness does exist in enforcing the criminal laws. When an offense is committed, the offender is in the laws or in their administration, I am forced to the conclusion that it is the latter.

In all cities and counties of this State, where the evidence is not so clear as in the case of the late lynchings, the offender can be indicted and tried at the same time. Not more than four weeks need elapse between the commission of an act and the trial of the offender, and in most cases not more than ten days, as the courts in the cities and larger counties, where the evidence is not so clear, take from two to three weeks in every month.

CONFUSED LAWS.

It would be difficult to provide machinery that would afford more speedy trials. The tardiness in enforcing the

law results from its administration, and not from defects in the law.

The second point in your inquiry is the tardiness of justice as often defeated by appellate courts upon trivial technicalities. If this be true can any remedy be secured by legislative enactment? My reply is that the frequent reversal of our statute law, Sir Edward Coke once said, "If I am asked a question of law, I should be ashamed to answer if I could not answer it immediately, but if I am asked a question of statute law, I should be ashamed to answer after a reference to the books." New laws have been made to expound old; new difficulties have arisen from old; confusion has increased, the web thickens, until the judges upon the bench cannot answer themselves as to what the law is, or is, or ought to be.

The remedy does not lie in a change of the law, but in their enforcement by courts and juries. Law reform is well enough in theory, but defective in practice. Frequent efforts have been made by judges and juries to simplify pleadings and to prescribe what shall not vitiate an indictment, or for what cause a judgment shall not be reversed, and these very statutes have been often matters of controversy than any others in the books.

WHEELS OF JUSTICE LOCKED.

To illustrate, one statute No. 229 of the Code, providing what defects in indictments shall not vitiate them, and starting out with these plain words: "No indictment or other accusation shall be established or deemed invalid for omitting," etc., has been construed and gravely passed upon in our Supreme Court of Appeals more than twenty-five times. Convicted criminals locked the wheels of justice by a writ of error to the Supreme Court of Appeals under that one statute.

How often this benign effort at simplification has been counteracted by misconstruction of the county, hustings, and circuit courts no man can number, and how often it has retarded the enforcement of criminal laws will never be known.

Other statutes in reference to the irregularities in the selection and formation of juries, and the manner of their trial, have been fruitful sources of contention and review by the courts.

THE THING NEEDED.

In other words, Mr. Editor, the foundation which is needed in the administration of the criminal laws rather than in the laws themselves. Of the law it has been well said, "What is the best administered law?"

The difficulty in Virginia has been and is a cheap judiciary. I would not reflect on the judges, but the county courts are presided over by men of high order of ability, notwithstanding the small salary, but they are the exceptions.

TOO MANY CONTINUANCES.

2. There is too great facility in obtaining continuances. The accused should be granted one continuance for good cause. After that no continuance should be granted from term to term, but only for imperative cause to some day during the term.

3. There are too many exemptions from jury service. The best men shun jury service, and then complain of the administration of the law.

LEGISLATION RECOMMENDED.

Replying to your inquiry "What legislation, in your opinion, is necessary, and if so, what?" I would say that I think--

1. That, if not all, exemptions from jury service should be repealed.

2. All jurors should be sworn to the body of the people. No juror should be allowed to order a jury from the by-standers. Amateurs should not be permitted to sit on a jury, but the "professional juror" is apt to slip on in some way.

3. To avoid mob law no appeal should be allowed from the judgment of the County Court in cases of rape or attempted rape.

QUICK APPEALS.

4. When an appeal is taken to the Circuit Court, it should be heard and decided within thirty days by the court or judge in vacation.

5. When an appeal is taken to the Supreme Court of Appeals, it should be heard and decided within sixty days.

6. No continuance but one should be granted to the Commonwealth or accused, and during the continuance the accused must be tried the whole power of the court, if necessary, should be exhausted to compel the attendance of any material witnesses. The case will be tried both sides will be ready.

C. E. NICOL, ESQ.

MANASSAS, VA., October 18, 1893.

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD, ESQ.

He Thinks That No Further Legislation is Necessary.

LYNCHBURG, VA., October 18, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

Yours of the 15th instant, addressed to the firm of Kirkpatrick & Blackford, is at hand. Major Kirkpatrick is not in the city, so I reply in my own name, although I know he agrees with the views I express in response to your inquiry.

My opinion is that no further legislation is necessary. The criminal laws are very carefully amended shortly before the Code of 1860, and were again reviewed by the able and able committee of the House of Delegates, and the result is a better system cannot be found anywhere. Crimes are accurately described, technical rules are modified, and yet every safeguard is maintained necessary to secure a fair trial to the accused.

SPEED AND JUSTICE.

My observation, which is quite extensive both as to time and territory, forces me to the opinion that as a rule speed of justice is meted in our criminal courts, varying in speed and character with the skill and industry of the respective judges and attorneys. For the Commonwealth, who are called upon to administer it.

It is very desirable that there should be speed in the action of criminal courts, but it is more desirable that there should be justice. To secure both requires skill and conscientious discretion.

I have seen in the reported opinions of the Court of Appeals, which justifies the charge that by its action "justice is often defeated upon trivial technicalities." The result is that the trial courts to be speedily often causes them to overlook those formalities in the selection of juries and other preliminary steps of a trial, which are essential to the protection of the citizen, and to the preservation of justice. The neglect of these formalities in the trial court in most cases works to the injury of the accused, but an appellate court, which has to lay down the general principles of the law and create a precedent, is constrained to see that such formalities are strictly enforced.

NECESSARY FORMALITIES.

The formalities protect the life and liberty of the citizen against prejudice and partiality. They are the basis of the object of their institution. It therefore becomes the duty of the Court of Appeals to establish precedents which will maintain that object, even though in some particular case its ruling may appear technical, and in that case may even obstruct the progress of justice. Those of us who noted the course of justice during the times of reconstruction know the importance of protecting the jury box as a give speedy action or corrupt judge or sheriff.

Very truly yours,

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD.

JOHN E. PENN, ESQ.

Law Reform Well Enough in Theory, But Defective in Practice.

ROANOKE, VA., October 22, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

I beg to say that the questions propounded deserve and should receive the careful consideration of our people, and especially of all in authority. Your attention has doubtless been directed to the subject by the recent lynchings in Roanoke city and Amelia county.

The foundation for this widespread belief is the fact that great tardiness does exist in enforcing the criminal laws. When an offense is committed, the offender is in the laws or in their administration, I am forced to the conclusion that it is the latter.

In all cities and counties of this State, where the evidence is not so clear as in the case of the late lynchings, the offender can be indicted and tried at the same time. Not more than four weeks need elapse between the commission of an act and the trial of the offender, and in most cases not more than ten days, as the courts in the cities and larger counties, where the evidence is not so clear, take from two to three weeks in every month.

CONFUSED LAWS.

It would be difficult to provide machinery that would afford more speedy trials. The tardiness in enforcing the

law results from its administration, and not from defects in the law.

The second point in your inquiry is the tardiness of justice as often defeated by appellate courts upon trivial technicalities. If this be true can any remedy be secured by legislative enactment? My reply is that the frequent reversal of our statute law, Sir Edward Coke once said, "If I am asked a question of law, I should be ashamed to answer if I could not answer it immediately, but if I am asked a question of statute law, I should be ashamed to answer after a reference to the books." New laws have been made to expound old; new difficulties have arisen from old; confusion has increased, the web thickens, until the judges upon the bench cannot answer themselves as to what the law is, or is, or ought to be.

The remedy does not lie in a change of the law, but in their enforcement by courts and juries. Law reform is well enough in theory, but defective in practice. Frequent efforts have been made by judges and juries to simplify pleadings and to prescribe what shall not vitiate an indictment, or for what cause a judgment shall not be reversed, and these very statutes have been often matters of controversy than any others in the books.

WHEELS OF JUSTICE LOCKED.

To illustrate, one statute No. 229 of the Code, providing what defects in indictments shall not vitiate them, and starting out with these plain words: "No indictment or other accusation shall be established or deemed invalid for omitting," etc., has been construed and gravely passed upon in our Supreme Court of Appeals more than twenty-five times. Convicted criminals locked the wheels of justice by a writ of error to the Supreme Court of Appeals under that one statute.

How often this benign effort at simplification has been counteracted by misconstruction of the county, hustings, and circuit courts no man can number, and how often it has retarded the enforcement of criminal laws will never be known.

Other statutes in reference to the irregularities in the selection and formation of juries, and the manner of their trial, have been fruitful sources of contention and review by the courts.

THE THING NEEDED.

In other words, Mr. Editor, the foundation which is needed in the administration of the criminal laws rather than in the laws themselves. Of the law it has been well said, "What is the best administered law?"

The difficulty in Virginia has been and is a cheap judiciary. I would not reflect on the judges, but the county courts are presided over by men of high order of ability, notwithstanding the small salary, but they are the exceptions.

TOO MANY CONTINUANCES.

2. There is too great facility in obtaining continuances. The accused should be granted one continuance for good cause. After that no continuance should be granted from term to term, but only for imperative cause to some day during the term.

3. There are too many exemptions from jury service. The best men shun jury service, and then complain of the administration of the law.

LEGISLATION RECOMMENDED.

Replying to your inquiry "What legislation, in your opinion, is necessary, and if so, what?" I would say that I think--

1. That, if not all, exemptions from jury service should be repealed.

2. All jurors should be sworn to the body of the people. No juror should be allowed to order a jury from the by-standers. Amateurs should not be permitted to sit on a jury, but the "professional juror" is apt to slip on in some way.

3. To avoid mob law no appeal should be allowed from the judgment of the County Court in cases of rape or attempted rape.

QUICK APPEALS.

4. When an appeal is taken to the Circuit Court, it should be heard and decided within thirty days by the court or judge in vacation.

5. When an appeal is taken to the Supreme Court of Appeals, it should be heard and decided within sixty days.

6. No continuance but one should be granted to the Commonwealth or accused, and during the continuance the accused must be tried the whole power of the court, if necessary, should be exhausted to compel the attendance of any material witnesses. The case will be tried both sides will be ready.

C. E. NICOL, ESQ.

MANASSAS, VA., October 18, 1893.

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD, ESQ.

He Thinks That No Further Legislation is Necessary.

LYNCHBURG, VA., October 18, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

Yours of the 15th instant, addressed to the firm of Kirkpatrick & Blackford, is at hand. Major Kirkpatrick is not in the city, so I reply in my own name, although I know he agrees with the views I express in response to your inquiry.

My opinion is that no further legislation is necessary. The criminal laws are very carefully amended shortly before the Code of 1860, and were again reviewed by the able and able committee of the House of Delegates, and the result is a better system cannot be found anywhere. Crimes are accurately described, technical rules are modified, and yet every safeguard is maintained necessary to secure a fair trial to the accused.

SPEED AND JUSTICE.

My observation, which is quite extensive both as to time and territory, forces me to the opinion that as a rule speed of justice is meted in our criminal courts, varying in speed and character with the skill and industry of the respective judges and attorneys. For the Commonwealth, who are called upon to administer it.

It is very desirable that there should be speed in the action of criminal courts, but it is more desirable that there should be justice. To secure both requires skill and conscientious discretion.

I have seen in the reported opinions of the Court of Appeals, which justifies the charge that by its action "justice is often defeated upon trivial technicalities." The result is that the trial courts to be speedily often causes them to overlook those formalities in the selection of juries and other preliminary steps of a trial, which are essential to the protection of the citizen, and to the preservation of justice. The neglect of these formalities in the trial court in most cases works to the injury of the accused, but an appellate court, which has to lay down the general principles of the law and create a precedent, is constrained to see that such formalities are strictly enforced.

NECESSARY FORMALITIES.

The formalities protect the life and liberty of the citizen against prejudice and partiality. They are the basis of the object of their institution. It therefore becomes the duty of the Court of Appeals to establish precedents which will maintain that object, even though in some particular case its ruling may appear technical, and in that case may even obstruct the progress of justice. Those of us who noted the course of justice during the times of reconstruction know the importance of protecting the jury box as a give speedy action or corrupt judge or sheriff.

Very truly yours,

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD.

JOHN E. PENN, ESQ.

Law Reform Well Enough in Theory, But Defective in Practice.

ROANOKE, VA., October 22, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

I beg to say that the questions propounded deserve and should receive the careful consideration of our people, and especially of all in authority. Your attention has doubtless been directed to the subject by the recent lynchings in Roanoke city and Amelia county.

The foundation for this widespread belief is the fact that great tardiness does exist in enforcing the criminal laws. When an offense is committed, the offender is in the laws or in their administration, I am forced to the conclusion that it is the latter.

In all cities and counties of this State, where the evidence is not so clear as in the case of the late lynchings, the offender can be indicted and tried at the same time. Not more than four weeks need elapse between the commission of an act and the trial of the offender, and in most cases not more than ten days, as the courts in the cities and larger counties, where the evidence is not so clear, take from two to three weeks in every month.

CONFUSED LAWS.

It would be difficult to provide machinery that would afford more speedy trials. The tardiness in enforcing the

law results from its administration, and not from defects in the law.

The second point in your inquiry is the tardiness of justice as often defeated by appellate courts upon trivial technicalities. If this be true can any remedy be secured by legislative enactment? My reply is that the frequent reversal of our statute law, Sir Edward Coke once said, "If I am asked a question of law, I should be ashamed to answer if I could not answer it immediately, but if I am asked a question of statute law, I should be ashamed to answer after a reference to the books." New laws have been made to expound old; new difficulties have arisen from old; confusion has increased, the web thickens, until the judges upon the bench cannot answer themselves as to what the law is, or is, or ought to be.

The remedy does not lie in a change of the law, but in their enforcement by courts and juries. Law reform is well enough in theory, but defective in practice. Frequent efforts have been made by judges and juries to simplify pleadings and to prescribe what shall not vitiate an indictment, or for what cause a judgment shall not be reversed, and these very statutes have been often matters of controversy than any others in the books.

WHEELS OF JUSTICE LOCKED.

To illustrate, one statute No. 229 of the Code, providing what defects in indictments shall not vitiate them, and starting out with these plain words: "No indictment or other accusation shall be established or deemed invalid for omitting," etc., has been construed and gravely passed upon in our Supreme Court of Appeals more than twenty-five times. Convicted criminals locked the wheels of justice by a writ of error to the Supreme Court of Appeals under that one statute.

How often this benign effort at simplification has been counteracted by misconstruction of the county, hustings, and circuit courts no man can number, and how often it has retarded the enforcement of criminal laws will never be known.

Other statutes in reference to the irregularities in the selection and formation of juries, and the manner of their trial, have been fruitful sources of contention and review by the courts.

THE THING NEEDED.

In other words, Mr. Editor, the foundation which is needed in the administration of the criminal laws rather than in the laws themselves. Of the law it has been well said, "What is the best administered law?"

The difficulty in Virginia has been and is a cheap judiciary. I would not reflect on the judges, but the county courts are presided over by men of high order of ability, notwithstanding the small salary, but they are the exceptions.

TOO MANY CONTINUANCES.

2. There is too great facility in obtaining continuances. The accused should be granted one continuance for good cause. After that no continuance should be granted from term to term, but only for imperative cause to some day during the term.

3. There are too many exemptions from jury service. The best men shun jury service, and then complain of the administration of the law.

LEGISLATION RECOMMENDED.

Replying to your inquiry "What legislation, in your opinion, is necessary, and if so, what?" I would say that I think--

1. That, if not all, exemptions from jury service should be repealed.

2. All jurors should be sworn to the body of the people. No juror should be allowed to order a jury from the by-standers. Amateurs should not be permitted to sit on a jury, but the "professional juror" is apt to slip on in some way.

3. To avoid mob law no appeal should be allowed from the judgment of the County Court in cases of rape or attempted rape.

QUICK APPEALS.

4. When an appeal is taken to the Circuit Court, it should be heard and decided within thirty days by the court or judge in vacation.

5. When an appeal is taken to the Supreme Court of Appeals, it should be heard and decided within sixty days.

6. No continuance but one should be granted to the Commonwealth or accused, and during the continuance the accused must be tried the whole power of the court, if necessary, should be exhausted to compel the attendance of any material witnesses. The case will be tried both sides will be ready.

C. E. NICOL, ESQ.

MANASSAS, VA., October 18, 1893.

CHARLES M. BLACKFORD, ESQ.

He Thinks That No Further Legislation is Necessary.

LYNCHBURG, VA., October 18, 1893.

To the Editor of the Dispatch:

Yours of the 15th instant, addressed to the firm of Kirkpatrick & Blackford, is at hand. Major Kirkpatrick is not in the city, so I reply in my own name, although I know he agrees with the views I express in response to your inquiry.

My opinion is that no further legislation is necessary. The criminal laws are very carefully amended shortly before the Code of 1860, and were again reviewed by the able and able committee of the House of Delegates, and the result is a better system cannot be found anywhere. Crimes are accurately described, technical rules are modified, and yet every safeguard is maintained necessary to secure a fair trial to the accused.

SPEED AND JUSTICE.

My observation, which is quite extensive both as to time and territory, forces me to the opinion that as a rule speed of justice is meted in our criminal courts, varying in speed and character with the skill and industry of the respective judges and attorneys. For the Commonwealth, who are called upon to administer it.

It is very desirable that there should be speed in the action of criminal courts, but it is more desirable that there should be justice. To secure both requires skill and conscientious discretion.

I have seen in the reported opinions of the Court of Appeals, which justifies the charge that by its action "justice is often defeated upon trivial technicalities." The result is that the trial courts to be speedily often causes them to overlook those formalities in the selection of juries and other preliminary steps of a trial, which are essential to the